## Schedule of Submissions Draft Shire of Toodyay Local Planning Scheme No. 5

Note: All submissions are noted, however the terminology of "Dismiss", "Upheld", or "Partially Upheld" is typically used for recommendations to the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC). In the Council's Recommendation column, if a submission is only "Noted", it does not make it clear to the WAPC whether the Council agrees or does not agree with the submission. If a submission is "Upheld", it means that Council agrees that the amendment should be modified as a result of the submission. If a submission is "Dismissed", it means that no modifications are recommended.

No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission		Council's Comments			s Recommend	
1	Statewest Planning on behalf of the owner of 480 Julimar Road, West Toodyay (postal address supplied)	Seeks Council's support for an Additional Use to be applied to the site of Lot 1 (480) Julimar Road, West Toodyay: 'Holiday Accommodation' (allocating it as a 'D' use) in the proposed Local Planning Scheme No 5 for the following reasons:  It is currently reserved for parks and recreation but is privately owned.  It is proposed to be zoned Residential R2.5 (which the owner has no objection to), but its subdivision potential is limited by:  It's configuration;  Its environmental values;  Its extensive frontage to the Avon River (in the context of its total lot size); and  The Special Control Area affecting its western (riverfront) portion.	•	Agree Lot 1 (480) Julimar Road, West Toodyay is currently classified as a local scheme reserve (PR Recreation and Conservation: Parks and Recreation), possibly an anomaly in LPS4; Existing development on Lot 1 includes a single dwelling and associated structures; Lot 1 is proposed to be zoned Residential R2.5 in LPS5; Lot 1 is located on the banks of the Avon River with scenic views;		PS5 (as advertise  Text Modif Under Sch following:  Description of land  Lot 1 (480) Julimar Road, West	d) as follows: cations	tional Uses, add the  Conditions  The additional use, including any alteration,
		The Special Control Area allecting its western (inventorit) portion.	•	Lot 1 is impacted by Special Control Area 2 in LPS5 (Flood Prone Area); and  The submission is supported on the basis that the additional use will facilitate the orderly planning and development of the area in a manner that respects the character and environmental values of the area, and will be responsive to the current and future community needs and aspirations.		Toodyay		expansion or extension, is not permitted unless the local government has exercised its discretion by granting development approval.
						annotation West Tood	Scheme Map "A12" to Lot 1 yay	(Map 3) by adding (480) Julimar Road,
2	Leslie and Kevin Hug – owners of Toodyay Caravan Park located at 122 Railway Road, Toodyay	Seeks Council's support for an Additional Use: Caravan Park be applied to Lots 20, 23 and 24, (122) Railway Road, Toodyay The Caravan Park is being referenced as "Residential" and not acknowledged as a 'Caravan Park'	•	Agree Including 'Caravan Park' as an Additional Use on the lots will alleviate any non-conforming uses; and The submission is supported on the basis that the existing caravan park in this location is compatible with adjoining		PS5 (as advertise  Text Modif	d) as follows: <u>ications</u> edule 1 – Addi	rough modification of
	(postal address supplied)			land uses and will not compromise the likely future amenity of the locality.	No. 13	Description of land  Lots 20, 23 & 24 (122) Railway Road, Toodyay	Additional use Caravan Park	Conditions  The additional use, including any alteration, expansion or extension, is not permitted unless the local government has exercised its discretion by granting development approval.

No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission	Council's Comments	Council's Recommendation
				Map Modifications Modify the Scheme Map (Map 4) by adding annotation "A13" to Lots 20, 23 & 24 (122) Railway Road, Toodyay
3	Roderick and Marie- Anne Cant, owners of Lot XXX (postal address supplied)	Questions how the Shire intends to protect residents of Julimar from disturbances and off-site impacts caused by mining exploration, mine development and mining operations	The current Scheme does not distinguish between mining operations determined by the Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety under the <i>Mining Act 1978</i> , or by the local government or a development assessment panel under the <i>Planning and Development Act 2005</i> .  The inclusion of mining operations in the zoning table of Draft LPS5 is intended to be used to 'trigger' the consultation process outlined in section 120(2) of the <i>Mining Act 1978</i> . Accordingly, 'Mining Operations' was expressly included in the zoning table of Draft LPS5 as a discretionary use. This will allow the local government to advise the Minister for Planning and the Minister for Mines and Petroleum that proposed mining operations may conflict with the local planning scheme.  'Mining Operations on Minerals to Owner' land is an 'A' use for 'Rural' zone, and an 'X' use for all other zones. It is a key aim of LPS5 to provide a rational framework for decisions with regard to land use and that the assessment and classification of land resources on the basis of capability and suitability are an essential facet of the planning process.	That the submission be dismissed.
4	Urbanista Town Planning on behalf of the owner of 111 Clarkson Street, West Toodyay (postal address supplied)	<ul> <li>Advises that Reid's Mechweld has operated from the property for more than 18 years;</li> <li>Reid's Mechweld is a business that is registered for the manufacture of agricultural equipment. The primary activities on the site relate to         <ul> <li>Agricultural harvesting equipment manufacturing</li> <li>Agricultural jplanting equipment manufacturing;</li> <li>Agricultural planting equipment manufacturing.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Suggests an Additional Use: Industry – Light to be applied to the site of Lot 77 (111) Clarkson Street, West Toodyay in LPS5;</li> <li>Conditions to proposed Additional Use:         <ol> <li>Planning approval is required for the additional land uses —subject to the discretion of local government by granting planning approval, having regard to the matters set out in cl. 67 of Schedule 2 of the regulations (deemed provisions).</li> </ol> </li> <li>Development shall be in accordance with plans approved by local government and will require the issue of planning approval.</li> <li>The local government may require planning approval applications to be advertised in accordance with cl. 64 of Schedule 2 of the regulations (deemed provisions).</li> </ul> <li>Submits the additional use validates the existing land use which commenced prior to both the current LPS4 and draft LPS5.</li> <li>Contends the suggested amendment will offer confidence to the landowner to continue their operations without confusion, and will provide a clearer framework for the local government to govern the site, as necessary.</li>	Disagree Accepting this proposed change is counter to the objectives of the zone and would be detrimental to the amenity of the area.	That the submission be dismissed.
5	Robert Pearce, owner of Lot XXX (postal address supplied)	There appear to be inconsistencies with the colour coding and numeral marking of the Rural Residential zoned areas on the Scheme Maps.	Noted. Disagree. Planning staff inspected the Scheme Map and found no inconsistencies with the colour coding and numeral markings.	That the submission be partially upheld by amending the Zoning Table (Table 4) in Draft LPS5 (as advertised) by designating the symbol 'A' to the Rural

No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission	Council's Comments	Council's Recommendation
		Considers that 'Caretaker's Dwelling' should be a use that may be considered for approval in the 'Rural' and 'Rural Smallholdings' zones.	Noted. Disagree. The use class 'Caretaker's Dwelling' is a habitable building associated primarily with an industrial business (or private community purpose) that is conducted on the same site by a person having the care of buildings, plant, equipment and grounds of that site. It is considered the use class is inappropriate for the Rural and Rural Smallholdings zones.	Residential and Rural Smallholding zones for the Family Day Care use class.
		Considers that 'Commercial Vehicle Parking' should be a use that may be considered for approval in the 'Rural Residential' and 'Rural Enterprise' zones.	Noted. Disagree that Commercial Vehicle Parking would be an appropriate use in the Rural Residential zone due to the potential for adverse impacts on the amenity of localities (i.e., undue generation of noise, fumes, odours and the like). Visual amenity of Rural Residential zoned properties may also be compromised as viewed from the street or adjacent properties. Commercial vehicle parking in the newly assigned Rural Enterprise zone is associated with light industrial development, therefore the parking of commercial vehicles in the zone is implicit.	
		<ul> <li>Considers that 'Family Day Care' should be a use that may be considered for approval in the 'Rural Residential' and 'Rural Smallholdings' zones.</li> <li>Questions why the construction of a 'Single House', an 'A' use in the 'Rural Enterprise' zone, requires advertising first.</li> </ul>	Noted. Agree.  Noted. Single house has been assigned an 'A' use in the Rural Enterprise zone because of the potential for land use conflict between sensitive receptors (i.e., dwellings) and light industrial land uses.	
		Considers that 'Tourist Development' should be a use that may be considered for approval in the 'Residential' and Rural Residential' zones.	Noted. Disagree. Tourist development, as defined by LPS5, is inappropriate (due to amenity concerns) as there is the risk of land use conflict through appropriate separation and/or increased vehicle volumes in established neighbourhoods.	
		<ul> <li>Considers that the development requirements for outbuildings (where the R-Codes apply) in Part 4 – General Development Requirements – are too onerous. Suggests more flexibility is required.</li> </ul>	Noted. There is sufficient flexibility/scope for Council to consider variations to the general development requirements in Part 4 of LPS5. Refer to clause 34 – Variations to Site and Development Requirements.	
		<ul> <li>Disagrees with the provisions of clause 32(6)(a). Considers that it should be the landowner – not the local government – who determines the frontage of a lot with more than one street frontage.</li> </ul>	Noted. Disagree. Clause 32(6)(a) clearly states the local government "may" (not must) determine the street frontage.	
		<ul> <li>Considers that the provisions of clause 32(8) (parking of commercial vehicles in residential zones) may not be practicable/achievable in Toodyay.</li> </ul>	Noted. Disagree. The provisions of clause 32(8) are based on sound planning theory and rationale. Again, there is sufficient flexibility/scope for Council to consider variations to the provisions under clause 34 – Variations to Site and Development Requirements.	
		<ul> <li>Considers that the minimum lot size (i.e., 40ha) for the erection of one (1) additional dwelling on a rural lot in clause 32(12)(b) requires further consideration "due to the demand and need for alternative living conditions".</li> </ul>	Noted. Disagree. It is considered 40ha is an appropriate minimum size for the erection of an additional dwelling on a lot zoned Rural. Notwithstanding the 40ha standard, there is sufficient flexibility/scope for Council to consider variations to the minimum 40ha standard under clause 34 – Variations to Site and Development Requirements.	
		Not sure if Toodyay currently has a 'Rural Enterprise' zone, and unsure how this zone would function in the context of Toodyay's rural lifestyle.	Noted. No, whilst provision has been made for the zone in LPS5, it is yet to be created through the rezoning process. Additional employment land has also been identified east of Toodyay townsite as a new Rural Enterprise zone to provide suitably zoned land for larger home businesses and occupations, such as plumbers and concreters, to reside and work.	
6	Kevin Banks-Smith on behalf of the owner of	Fully supportive of proposed changes	Noted.	Dismissed
	116 Timberden Drive, Julimar (postal address supplied)	Property currently too small for viable farm use;	Noted. Rezoning change is consistent with the action 7.10(d) of Council's adopted Local Planning Strategy 2018.	That the submission be dismissed.

No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission	Council's Comments	Council's Recommendation
		Will seek approval for a potential 5 lot subdivision of the property.	<ul> <li>Noted. Schedule 5 of the Draft LPS5 under (2):</li> <li>1. No further subdivision is permitted.</li> <li>2. The keeping of livestock on any lot is to be restricted to outside of fenced tree preservation areas, or inside of fenced building envelopes.</li> </ul>	
7	Stephen Hanson (postal address supplied)	Objects to the 20m boundary setback on the basis that it will increase bushfire risk.	Noted. Disagree. There is no evidence to support the notion that reduced setbacks will increase bushfire risk of property in the Rural Residential zone.	That the submission be dismissed.
8	Lori Reeve (address supplied)	<ul> <li>Objects to the rezoning of 'Rural' zoned land to the 'Rural Smallholdings' zone in the Timberden Drive estate;</li> <li>Claims Zoning is for revenue raising.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Noted. Zoning change is consistent with the action 7.10(d) of the adopted Local Planning Strategy 2018.</li> <li>Noted. Disagree that zoning change is for revenue-raising, or that the change in zoning will devalue properties in the estate (normally not a relevant planning consideration).</li> </ul>	That the submission be dismissed.
9	Jacquie & Peter Lacy (address supplied)	<ul> <li>Do not wish to see existing use rights {parking of school buses) taken away by the change in zoning of Lot 502 Julimar Road from Special Residential to Residential.</li> </ul>	Noted. LPS5 will not remove the ability to apply for development approval for parking of commercial vehicles in the Residential zone.	That the submission be dismissed.
10	Jo Hart (address supplied)	<ul> <li>Generally poor internal and external references in the Scheme-Text;</li> <li>Submits that zoning provisions seem to be more in keeping with peri-urban, semi-urban and urban lifestyle areas;</li> </ul>		inclusion of the following heading and subclauses under clause 32 of Draft LPS5 (as advertised):
		<ul> <li>Document heading hierarchy at 'car parking requirements' on page 33 is ambiguous;</li> <li>Scheme Maps are difficult to interpret;</li> <li>Submits that Morangup properties should be zoned 'Rural Smallholding' rather than 'Rural Residential' due to current 4 to 40 ha size range;</li> <li>Submits that the Zoning Table (Table 4) requires modification to allow more local relevant small businesses to be operated;</li> <li>The 'commercial vehicle parking' land use class in the Zoning Table should be designated an 'A' use rather than an 'X' (not permitted) use;</li> <li>The 'mining operations' land use class in the Zoning Table should be an 'X' use rather than an 'A' use in the 'Rural' zone due to incompatibility with environmental values in the Shire;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Noted. Disagree. The Rural Smallholding zone seeks to replace the Rural Living zone to align zone names with those in the Model Scheme Text (part of the 2015 Regulations).</li> <li>Noted. Disagree. It is considered the range and permissibility of commercial land use classes in the Zoning Table of LPS5 sufficiently aligns with the objectives of the Commercial zone.</li> <li>Noted. Disagree. Commercial vehicle parking is a non-permitted land use in the Rural Residential, Rural Enterprise, Environmental Conservation, Mixed Use and Private Community Purposes due to the potential for adverse impacts on the amenity of localities (i.e., undue generation of noise, fumes, odours and the like). Visual amenity of Rural Residential zoned properties may also be compromised as viewed from the street or adjacent properties.</li> <li>Noted. Disagree. The current Scheme does not</li> </ul>	maximum number of campers, access arrangements to the site and any proposed structures;  (b) identifies environmental values and sets out measures for protection and rehabilitation;  (c) demonstrates waste disposal is in accordance with Government policy, or is otherwise removed from and disposed offsite;  (d) demonstrates adequate separation distances and/or buffers from incompatible land uses on adjacent or nearby locations; and  (e) any other information the decision maker considers relevant.  83. In considering a proposal for the development of a Nature based park the decision maker shall refer any application which propose:  (a) clearing of native vegetation to the government department/s responsible for the environment; and  (b) the installation of an on-site effluent disposal system to the government department/s responsible for human and environmental health.

No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission	Council's Comments	Council's Recommendation
			It is a key aim of LPS5 to provide a rational framework for decisions with regard to land use and that the assessment and classification of land resources on the basis of capability and suitability, are an essential facet of the planning process.	the proposal undermines the ability for continued agricultural use of Rural land.  85. No clearing of native vegetation is permitted to occur.  Note: Nature Based Park applications are required
		Contends that the general development requirements for outbuildings listed under clause 26(4) are highly restrictive and prescriptive, and not suited to the largely rural character of the Shire of Toodyay;	<ul> <li>Noted. There is sufficient flexibility/scope for Council to consider variations to the general development requirements in Part 4 of LPS5. Refer to clause 34 – Variations to Site and Development Requirements.</li> </ul>	to be in accordance with the Caravan Parks and Camping Grounds Act 1995 and the Caravan Parks and Camping Grounds Regulations 1997.
		<ul> <li>Considers that the clauses (clauses 32(15) – 32(28) under 'Development (Rural, Rural Residential, Rural Smallholding) take no account of emerging trends in living and alternative lifestyle options such as the "tiny house movement" and multi-generational occupancy of properties;</li> <li>Submits that clause 32(77) in the Scheme "can never be met" on the basis that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Noted. Agree that emerging trends and alternative lifestyle options (i.e., eco-tourism/short-term accommodation) be given special consideration in LPS5 for the Rural zone only.</li> <li>Noted. Disagree. Extractive industries provide vital</li> </ul>	inclusion of the following definition in clause 38 (division 2) of LPS5:
		extractive industries will always have an adverse effect on the environment or amenity in the locality;	resources to the community for building, construction and infrastructure. However, extractive industry activities have	Land use Definition
			the potential to have negative impacts on people and the environment. Rather than singling out the words "adversely affect" in subclause 77(a), it should be read in	nature based park means a facility in an area that:
			full context – that is: the local government will only support extractive industries where it can be demonstrated that the extraction of minerals or basic raw materials will not adversely affect the environment or amenity in the locality of the operation during or after excavation, including the provisions of subclauses 77(b) and 77(c).	is not in close     proximity to an area     that is built up with     structures used for     business, industry or     dwelling-houses at
		With respect to clause 32(77), considers that there is insufficient and in some instances no reference to environmental considerations and/or Shire of Toodyay adopted environmental strategies;	<ul> <li>Noted. Disagree. The provisions of clause 32(77) should be read with the relevant provisions of clause 67(2) in the deemed provisions for local planning schemes*.</li> <li>* The deemed provisions for local planning schemes form part of every local planning scheme in the State.</li> </ul>	intervals of less than 100 metres for a distance of 500 metres or more; and 2. has been
		<ul> <li>Suggests that more conditions should be included under clause 32(79) to deal with groundwater as an amenity, the impacts of airborne dust on rainwater supply, size of vehicles permitted, and conditions managing blasting; and</li> <li>Considers that the size of exempt advertising signs in Schedule 7 is insufficient (i.e. too small to be easily read from a vehicle).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Noted. Disagree. Clause 32(79) clearly states it is not intended to be an exhaustive list of matters to consider.</li> <li>Noted. Disagree. The maximum size for an exempt sign primarily serves to avoid proliferation of signs on individual</li> </ul>	predominately formed by nature; and  3. has limited or controlled artificial light
			sites and buildings, and to ensure that the display of advertisements does not adversely impact on the amenity of surrounding land. Where signs exceed the maximum permitted size, development approval will be required.	and noise intrusion.
11	Christine Lavery (address supplied)	Submits that the consultation was neither appropriate or valid as people should be told what the changes to the Scheme are; and	Noted. LPS5 was advertised strictly in accordance with Part 4 (preparation or adoption of local planning scheme) of the <i>Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.</i>	That the submission be dismissed.
		Opposes reduced setbacks from 30m to 20m in the Rural Residential zone on the basis that it will increase bushfire risk.	<ul> <li>Noted. Disagree. There is no evidence to support the notion that reduced setbacks will increase bushfire risk of property in the Rural Residential zone.</li> </ul>	
12	John Lucas (address supplied)	Suggests that the development requirements for the Residential zone is changed to permit the parking of school buses (by definition, school buses are commercial vehicles).	Noted. LPS5 will not remove the ability to apply for development approval for parking of commercial vehicles in the Residential zone.	That the submission be dismissed.
13	Barbara and Francis Moran (address supplied)	Considers the wording in the Scheme is too complicated to interpret.	Noted. The format and Scheme Text of LPS5 was prepared in accordance with the Model Scheme Text in the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, and with the guidance of the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage. A Local Planning Scheme is a legal document that sets out policies and controls for how land in a particular local government area can be used and developed. It contains information about long term planning and strategies and about how infrastructure and development will occur in the area.	That the submission be dismissed.

No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission	Council's Comments	Council's Recommendation
14	Paul Clarke (address supplied)	<ul> <li>Submits that Rural Living zoned properties should be able to have a retail outlet on their property to sell produce on their property.</li> </ul>	Noted. Disagree. The Rural Smallholding zone seeks to replace the Rural Living zone to align zone names with those in the Model Scheme Text (part of the 2015 Regulations).	That the submission be dismissed.
15	Sydney and Ann Sinclair (address supplied)	<ul> <li>Expresses support for the continued parking of commercial vehicles (Mr John Lucas' school buses at Lot 502 Julimar Road in Toodyay) in the Residential zone.</li> </ul>	Noted. LPS5 will not remove the ability to apply for development approval for parking of commercial vehicles in the Residential zone.	That the submission be dismissed.
16	Dan Pearce (RobertsDay Town Planners) on behalf of the owner of Lots 44 – 46 Stirling Terrace and Lot 300 Goomalling- Toodyay Road, Toodyay	<ul> <li>Suggests alternative construction to the draft provisions for the proposed Special Use No. 15 area (Catholic Church Heritage Precinct) as follows:</li> <li>1. Table 2 details the current land use permissibility within the current 'Mixed Business' zone: <ul> <li>Highlighted items in yellow are uses proposed by the Shire as part of the proposed rezoning to 'Special Use No. 15' in Draft LPS5. These uses are supported.</li> <li>Highlighted items in green are uses proposed by the Shire as part of the proposed rezoning for which land use definitions do not currently exist in LPS4. The uses are supported but the relevant land definitions should be included in the new LPS5.</li> <li>Highlighted items in magenta are uses not currently identified in the Special Use zone, which the owners consider appropriate for inclusion in the final provisions.</li> <li>Highlighted items in blue are uses which are not currently permissible in the Mixed Business zone but which the owners consider appropriate for inclusion in the final provisions given the purpose of the new zone.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The recommended uses omit most retail, large format and industrial uses, as well as uses such as tavern, that we understand were of concern to surrounding residents.  It is proposed that the uses highlighted in Table 2 would be incorporated into column 2 of the Amendment grouped by land use permissibility.</li> <li>We believe the requirement for an LDP to guide development approval is unnecessary. Given the current subdivision approval (which once implemented may facilitate creation and therefore independent development application. In the event that new titles are not created then the same provisions apply to development or individual lots), we suggest removing the requirement for an LDP and require consideration of the same matters as part of a development application. In the event that new titles are not created then the same provisions apply to development on any part of the land, thereby protecting the strategic heritage and o</li></ul>		That the submission be dismissed.
17	Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA)	<ul> <li>Notes that the proposed Rural Smallholding zone will provide for the subdivision of land for lot sizes ranging from 4 to 40 ha;</li> <li>Submits that several of the lots to be zoned Rural Smallholding contain large areas of native vegetation that have not been surveyed for threatened species and ecological communities. Then submits that, prior to rezoning lots</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>That the submission be partially upheld through modification of Draft LPS5 (as advertised) Scheme Map as follows:</li> <li>Amend Scheme Map 1 by designating R42808, R42370, R19900, R19904 and</li> </ul>

No.	Name/Address	•			Council's Comments	Council's Recommendation
		co is	nich contain vegetation, targeted ommunities should be undertaken	d surveys for threatened species and to determine if intensification of land use to biodiversity values can be avoided or		R13971 as 'Environmental Conservation' Local Scheme Reserves;  Amend Scheme Map 2 by designating R21429, Lot 889 on DP 415818 and Lot 70 on Plan 407481 as 'Environmental Conservation'
	<ul> <li>Notes that designation of "tree preservation areas" as preservation and/or remnant vegetation areas" counterchanism for the Shire to protect vegetation, ecolor for conservation-significant flora and fauna and riparia</li> <li>Notes that there is an area adjacent to Wilkerson Rewest Toodyay, (Maps 2 and 3) that is proposed to be Rural Residential, which will allow subdivision to creat lots. This area adjoins Rugged Hill Nature Reserve an of threatened flora listed for protection under the Bio Act 2019. Further notes that the proposed amendment may increase lot densities and development in this are clearing and indirect impacts to the adjacent conserva</li> </ul>		etation areas" could provide a suitable vegetation, ecological corridors, habitated fauna and riparian vegetation; and to Wilkerson Road and Ridley Circle, is proposed to be rezoned from Rural to subdivision to create one to four hectare Nature Reserve and contains populations tion under the <i>Biodiversity Conservation</i> opposed amendment to Rural Residential dopment in this area leading to vegetation	been adopted, the local government will consider whether to amend LPS5 at a later stage (i.e., post gazettal) to include tree preservation and natural vegetation areas.  Noted.	Local Scheme Reserves; and  Amend Scheme Map 4 by Designating Lot 110 Racecourse Road, Toodyay as 'Public Open Space' Local Scheme Reserve.	
		Re en fai sta rei	ecommends that the Scheme T ovironmental assessments are u una and ecological communities atutory planning proposal which fa	ext should include a requirement that indertaken to identify threatened flora, with a view to inform any strategic or cilitates the subdivision of lots containing and existing Rural Residential zone;	been adopted, the local government will consider whether to amend LPS5 at a later stage (i.e., post gazettal) to make	
		Map #	Issue	Comment		
		1	Drummond Nature Reserve (R 42808) and Camerer Nature Reserve (R 42370) are proposed to be zoned Rural	These nature reserves should be shown as Environmental Conservation reserves consistent with other DBCA managed conservation estate.	Noted. Agree that the Scheme Map (Map 1) is amended to show R42808 and R42370 as Environmental Conservation Reserves.	
		1	Poison Gully Nature Reserve (R 19900) and A-Class nature reserve (R 19904) are proposed to be reserved as Public Open Space	These nature reserves should be shown as Environmental Conservation reserve consistent with other DBCA managed conservation estate.	Noted. Agree that the Scheme Map (Map 1) is amended to show R19900 and R19904 as Environmental Conservation Reserves.	
		1	'Dewars Pool' Section 5(1)(g) reserve (R 13971) surrounded by Julimar State Forest is proposed to be reserved as State Forest	This reserve should be reserved as Environmental Conservation to better reflect the reserve purpose.	Noted. Agree that the Scheme Map (Map 1) is amended to show R13971 as Environmental Conservation Reserve.	
		2	Rugged Hill Nature Reserve (R 21429) is proposed to be reserved as Public Open Space	This nature reserve should be shown as Environmental Conservation reserve consistent with other DBCA managed conservation estate.	<ul> <li>Noted. Agree that the Scheme Map (Map 2) is amended to show R21429 as Environmental Conservation Reserve.</li> <li>Noted. Agree that the Scheme Map (Map 2) is amended</li> </ul>	
		the existing Clackline Nature	DBCA and is a proposed addition to the existing Clackline Nature Reserve. The lot should be reserved	to show Lot 889 on DP 415818 as Environmental Conservation Reserve.		

No.	Name/Address		Summary of S	Submission	Council's Comments		Counci	l's Recomn	nendation
		2	Lot 70 on Plan 407481 should be placed in the Environmental Conservation zone.	This lot has a conservation covenant, administered by DBCA, under the Transfer of Land Act 1893. It is stated in Development Control Policy, 3.4 Subdivision of rural land (WAPC 2016) that, "Following the creation of a lot under this clause, the resultant conservation lot should be appropriately zoned by the local government in the local planning scheme in a future omnibus amendment or when the scheme is reviewed."	Noted. Agree that the Scheme Map (Map 2) is amended to show Lot 70 on Plan 407481 as Environmental Conservation Reserve.				
		4	Lot 110 Racecourse Road, Toodyay, which currently contains the golf course is proposed to be reserved as Environmental Conservation	Given the land is Shire of Toodyay freehold for public recreational purposes it may be more appropriate for this reserve to be reserved as Public Open Space.	Noted. Agree that the Scheme Map (Map 4) is amended to show Lot 110 Racecourse Road, Toodyay as Public Open Space.				
18	Water Corporation	V T	Vater Treatment Plant (WWTP) a oodyay in the Scheme Map and Sc	odour buffer around the Toodyay Waste tot 501 Goomalling-Toodyay Road in heme Text as a new Special Control Area velopment control provisions in Schedule	Toodyay is depicted on the Scheme Map (Map 2) as Rural Smallholdings. This appears to be an oversight as the	the Draft designate Toodya and  That the 3 in Draft	ft LPS5 (as ating Lot y as 'Public submission of LPS5 (as xisting list of Purpos e/ Objectives To	advertised) 501 Goo c Purpose' on be uphel s advertised	d through modification of Scheme Map (Map 2) by malling-Toodyay Road, Local Scheme Reserve;  d by amending Schedule by adding the following control Areas:  Relevant Considerations  In considering any application for land use or development within the WWTP odour buffer SCA, the local government will have due regard to the following: - The local government will generally not approve development which is sensitive to odour emanating from the WWTP; - The local government will consult the Water Corporation and the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation regarding the proposed development or land use for advice on the proposal and any

No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission	Council's Comments	Council's Recommendation
				establis hed within the odour buffer.  conditions that should be imposed on approval The local government will have regard to relevant policies including State Planning Policy 4.1 (State Industrial Buffers) and EPA Guidance Statement No.3 (Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Factors).
19	LandInsights Planning, Design and Environment on behalf of the owner of Lot 151 Wilkerson Road, Toodyay	<ul> <li>Notes that Lot 151 Wilkerson Road (the site) was identified in the Shire's adopted Local Planning Strategy for <i>Environmental Conservation</i> and <i>Rural Smallholdings</i> purposes;</li> <li>Notes that a subdivision of the Conservation Lot has been approved by the WAPC in early 2020;</li> <li>Notes that, as part of an earlier rezoning process, a detailed fauna and flora study was undertaken, which confirmed the presence of some significant flora species on the property as well as some black cockatoo habitat;</li> <li>Notes that the preparation of Council's Draft LPS5 provides a unique opportunity to ensure that the site is appropriately zoned in accordance with the Local Planning Strategy. This will have the benefit of ensuring the Conservation Lot is protected by the Scheme, and thereby shielded from incompatible rural development.</li> </ul>	Planning Strategy identified Lot 151 Wilkerson Road in West Toodyay (known also as 44 Francis Street, West Toodyay) for Environmental Conservation and Rural Smallholdings respectively, it is only appropriate that the zoning of the land assigned accordingly. Further agree with the submitter that the rezoning of the land is undertaken through the preparation of LPS5, and that the Conservation Lot is protected by the Scheme and shielded from incompatible rural development.	That the submission be upheld through modification of Draft LPS5 (as advertised) Scheme Map (Map 3) by designating Lot 151 Wilkerson Road, West Toodyay 'Rural Smallholdings' zone, and by designating the newly created Conservation Lot as 'Environmental Conservation' Local Scheme Reserve'.
20	Michael Wood, owner of Coorinja Winery (address supplied)	<ul> <li>Notes that his property at Lot 345 (5914) Toodyay Road, Hoddy's Well is zoned 'Rural Living' under LPS4 where the land use class 'Restaurant/Café' is an 'A' use and therefore Council has the discretion to approve the land use following public consultation.</li> <li>Notes that under Draft LPS5, the property zoning will change to 'Rural Smallholdings', however, 'Restaurant/Café' will now be an 'X' land use, which means it is prohibited.</li> <li>Submits that 'Restaurant/Café' land should be listed as an 'A' not an 'X' land use, in the 'Rural Smallholding' zone for the following reasons:</li> <li>The land use is currently a discretionary land use in the 'Rural Living' zone under LPS4. Draft LPS5 proposes that the 'Rural Living' zone be changed to the 'Rural Smallholdings' zone, which is predominately a change to the title of the zone to be consistent with the Model Provisions contained in the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015. The zone is largely the same zone with similar lot size ranges and zoning objectives, it is therefore submitted that the 'Restaurant/café' land use should remain as a discretionary land use.</li> <li>The objectives of the 'Rural Smallholdings' zone include 'to provide for a limited range of rural land uses and incidental uses, such as tourism [emphasis added], where those activities will be consistent with the amenity of the locality and the conservation and landscape attributes of the land'. A restaurant is a tourist land use and therefore I submit that it should remain as a discretionary land use within the zone.</li> <li>The 'Rural Smallholdings' zone provides for a large range in lots sizes, from 4ha to 40ha, therefore a land use, like a restaurant, is capable of being designed and sited to ensure that the activity is consistent with the amenity of the locality. It is submitted that draft LPS5 should be adjusted for a restaurant to be an 'A' use so that a merit-based assessment can be performed, and the views of adjoining landowners can be consid</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Noted.</li> <li>Noted. Agree. Consistent with adjoining local government areas (Shires of Northam and Mundaring), the use class 'restaurant/café' is a use that can be designated a discretionary use (i.e., 'A') in the Zoning Table of LPS5 for the Rural Smallholdings zone.</li> </ul>	That the submission be upheld through modification of the Zoning Table (Table 4) in Draft LPS5 (as advertised) by assigning the symbol 'A' to the 'restaurant/café', 'brewery' and 'industry-extractive' use classes in the Rural Smallholdings zone.

No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission	Council's Comments	Council's Recommendation
		the opportunity (including the potential economic benefits and investment) being removed altogether.  It is submitted that keeping restaurant as an 'A' land use aligns with the vision and objectives of the Strategy Community Plan (the Plan). Specifically, if the land use remains as a discretionary land use it will assist to attract, develop, and maintain business in Toodyay, which is the strategic outcome under the economic pillar of the Plan. It will also align with the three objectives under the economic pillar of the Plan as it would:  Incourage and support investment into an existing business in Toodyay;  Will add another attraction to the region, thus promoting Toodyay as a tourism destination; and  Will enable economic diversification.  Submits that 'Brewery' which is currently listed as an 'X'/prohibited land use in the 'Rural Smallholding' zone be amended to be an 'A' land use based on similar grounds as mentioned above.  Submits that the use class 'Industry – Extractive', which is currently an 'A' use in the 'Rural Living' zone, but depicted as an 'X' (not permitted) use in Draft LPS5, should be designated an 'A' use in Draft LPS5 on the basis that large tracts of what will be the 'Rural Smallholding' zone remain as operational farms that have not yet been subdivided, which generally enables adequate separation distances for such a land use to exist without impacting upon the amenity of the locality.	<ul> <li>Noted. Agree. The use class 'brewery' is a use that can be designated as a discretionary use (i.e., 'A') in the Zoning Table of LPS5.</li> <li>Noted. Agree. The use class 'industry - extractive' is a use that can be designated as a discretionary use (i.e., 'A') in the Zoning Table of LPS5.</li> </ul>	
21	Main Roads Western Australia	<ul> <li>Part 02 – Reserves, at 'Local Reserves', at (1) Amend "Department of Main Roads" to "Main Roads Western Australia" or "Main Roads WA" in two locations.</li> <li>Part 03 – Zones and Use of Land, questions whether use classes "Industry", "Mining Operations on Minerals to Owner Land", and "Waste Disposal Facility" are not permitted uses in all zones.</li> <li>Notes that some proposed development (i.e. access, stormwater drainage, signage, etc.) has an impact on the Main Roads WA network. Advises that development applications must be referred to Main Roads WA for comment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Noted. Agree.</li> <li>Noted. Council submits it is appropriate that the use classes "Industry", "Mining Operations on Minerals to Owner Land", and "Waste Disposal Facility" are not permitted uses in all zones.</li> <li>Noted. Clause 66(1) of the deemed provisions for local planning schemes provides that when (in the opinion of the local government) an application for development approval may affect any other statutory, public or planning authority, the local government is to provide a copy of the application to the authority for objections and recommendations.</li> </ul>	That the submission be partially upheld by amending Part 02 – Reserves of Draft LPS5 (as advertised) by substituting any and all reference to 'Department of Main Roads' to 'Main Roads WA'.
		<ul> <li>Part 04 – General Development Requirements (clause 32), with respect to 'setbacks', questions why a 50m setback is required from a state road for Rural and Rural Smallholding zones. Advises that Main Roads WA was not aware of requesting this requirement. Questions why the requirement is inconsistent across similar zones, i.e. Rural Residential and Rural Enterprise.</li> <li>Under 'Development in the Rural Residential and Rural Smallholdings zones' section of clause 32, with particular reference to (16) "and the road" should be " and the property boundary", advises that town planning stops at the property boundary and Main Roads WA is unlikely to support landscaping or permitted rural activities (under the LPS) to be undertaken within road reserves under Main Roads WA control.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Noted. Currently, in LPS4, buildings that front a designated state, regional or district road should be set back a minimum of 100m from the boundary. A 50m setback is considered sufficient from a state or major road.</li> <li>Noted. Disagree. Council submits that clause 32(16) is correctly worded.</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Development in the Light Industry zone' section under clause 32 needs to be consistent with (16) above and for accuracy. Advises that Main Roads WA is unlikely to support the daily parking of vehicles, loading and unloading of vehicles and trade displays within the Main Roads WA road reserve. Recommends it is made clear that the clause relates to local roads only.</li> <li>Suggests clause 78(e) is reworded</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Noted. Disagree. Council submits that clause 32(43) is correctly worded, and that further modification to distinguish between local and state roads is not required.</li> <li>Noted. Disagree. Council submits that clause 32(78)(e) is correctly worded. Where warranted, extractive industry proposals that may affect MRWA's interests will be referred to MRWA for comments under the requirements of clause 66 of the deemed provisions for local planning schemes.</li> </ul>	
22	Chris & Olivia Wood (address supplied)	Requests Council consider inclusion of Nature Based Park as a land use definition and appropriate permissibility within Scheme No. 5.	<ul><li>Noted. Agree.</li><li>Noted. Agree.</li></ul>	That the submission be upheld through the inclusion of the following heading and subclauses under clause 32 of Draft LPS5 (as advertised):

No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission	Council's Comments	Council's Re	commendation
No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission  Considers Nature Based Park is an appropriate land use to bolster tourism options in the Shire, and further considers this modification will be required to eliminate inconsistencies between the planning approval requirements and those under the Caravan Parks and Camping Grounds legislation.	Council's Comments	Nature Based Parks 82. Nature based accompanied by inf (a) outlines the including by camps, may access array proposed states (b) identifies errout measure rehabilitation (c) demonstrate accordance otherwise resolution offsite; (d) demonstrate distances a incompatible nearby local (e) any other inconsiders resolved any application whice (a) clearing of governmen the environment of the environment of the environment of the opinion proposal detriment as surrounding land us the proposal underragicultural use of the states of the sta	park proposals shall be permation that — scale of the proposal, at not limited to, the number of kimum number of campers, angements to the site and any tructures; avironmental values and sets es for protection and an; es waste disposal is in with Government policy, or is emoved from and disposed es adequate separation and/or buffers from e land uses on adjacent or tions; and formation the decision maker elevant.  posal for the development of a he decision maker shall refer the propose: native vegetation to the telepartment/s responsible for ment; and ion of an on-site effluent estem to the government /s responsible for human and atal health.  proposals will not be supported a for the decision maker, the ally impact or undermines es, and in particular, where nines the ability for continued
				the following definition in	Definition
				nature based park	means a facility in an area
					that:  1. is not in close
					proximity to an area that is built up with structures used for

No. Name/Addre	ess	Summary of Submission	n Co	ouncil's Comments	Council's Recommendation
23 Department of Planning, Lands Heritage	and No.8 (SU landowne  Advises conditions ensure of Schemes the remail Use zone  Requests 28826 on 'rural' zon'	Council consider recommended modification of land in responser of Lots 16, 802 and 803 Wattening Spring that the proposed changes seek to upon as in SU8 to facilitate a future tourism proposistency with the <i>Planning and Destroposistency</i> with the <i>Planning and Destropositions</i> of rural zoned land within Lotses.  Solution Consider modification of the Solution Consider Modification Consider Modification of the Solution Consider Modification Consider Mod	se to a submission from the ng Road, Wattening. pdate the special uses and posal within the zone, and to evelopment (Local Planning ng SU8, it also seeks to bring s 802 and 803 into the Special cheme Map to reclassify Lot 3) from part 'Special use', part		business, industry or dwelling-houses at intervals of less than 100 metres for a distance of 500 metres or more; and  2. has been predominately formed by nature; and  3. has limited or controlled artificial light and noise intrusion.  • That the submission be upheld through the rezoning of Lots 16, 802 and 803 Wattening Spring Road Wattening and modification of Special Use No. 8 in Draft LPS5 (as advertised) as follows:  1. Reclassify portions of Lots 802 and 803 Wattening Spring Road, Wattening from 'Rural' zone to 'Special Use' zone and amend the Scheme Map accordingly.  2. Reclassify a portion of Reserve 2393 (Lot 28826 on DP 187541) from 'Special Use' and 'Rural' zone to 'Environmental Conservation' reserve and amend the Scheme Map accordingly.  3. Modify Part 6 by inserting the model term and definition for 'Cabin' under clause 37(1).  4. Amending the provisions of Schedule 4 of the Scheme Text as they apply to Special Use Zone 8
	No.	Description of Land	Special Use	Conditions	to the following:
	8	Lots 16, 802 and 803, Wattening Spring Road, Wattening	As a discretionary ('D') use:  Tourist Development  Cabin  Caretaker's dwelling  Chalet  Holiday accommodation  Holiday house and any other land uses that the local government considers consistent with the intent of this zone.	The purpose and intent of this zone is facilities and services.  1. Development and use is not discretion by granting developm. 2. Development is address, but not (a) Setbacks, as determined by (b) The location of on-site tour (c) Access and traffic manage.  • providing safe legal ing public road;  • provision of any require the local government;  • provision of car parking.  • internal vehicle access.  • pedestrian access acro.  (d) A Bushfire Management prepared; and.  (e) Any other relevant matter warranted to ensure orderly.  3. Development is to be provided.  (a) A sustainable water supply.  (b) A reticulated electricity sup the intended use;	ot limited to, the following matters: y local government; and ism and management facilities across the site; and ment for the site, including: liress and egress to the development site from a constructed ed road upgrades and/or construction to the satisfaction of g; and circulation; and

No.	Name/Address	me/Address Summary of Submission		Council's Comments		Council's Recommendation	
					<ul> <li>(d) Provision for waste management.</li> <li>4. No permanent residential accommodation is permitted in the Special Use zon a caretaker's dwelling.</li> <li>5. The above development conditions (2-4) may be varied at the discretion government and on the advice of any relevant referral agency.</li> </ul>		
24	Tash Weir on behalf of Mahonia Operations (operating as In2thewild Tiny Holidays) (address supplied)	Requests Council consider inclusion of a land of permissibility within LPS5 for "nature bas accommodation services in "tiny-houses-on-who." Considers nature based park is an appropriate options in the Shire of Toodyay, and that this neliminate inconsistencies between the plannin those under the Caravan Parks and Camping Company of the Caravan Parks and Camping C	ed park" to better reflect eels." e land use to bolster tourism nodification will be required to g approval requirements and		icil submits there is a need to better commodation options in LPS5.		

No.	Name/Address	Summary of Submission	Council's Comments	Council's	Council's Recommendation	
				That the submission be upheld through the inclusion of the following definition in clause 38 (division 2) of LPS5:		
				Land use	Definition	
				nature based park	means a facility in an area that:  1. is not in close proximity to an area that is built up with structures used for business, industry or dwelling-houses at intervals of less than 100 metres for a distance of 500 metres or more; and  2. has been predominately formed by nature; and  3. has limited or controlled artificial light and noise intrusion.	